

Small bowel intussusceptions by ultrasound

Emhmed Mohamed Saaid¹ and Emraga abohamod²

Depart

ment of Diagnostic Radiology, Sebha Medical Center Hospital and Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine,
University of Sebha, Sebha, Libya

Abstract:

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the typical ultrasonography findings of transient small bowel intussusception (SBI) in pediatrics. Six transient SBI (male:female =4:2, age: 7 - 120 months (mean 38 months) patients diagnosed on ultrasonography were retrospectively evaluated. The findings of location, diameter, and thickness of outer rim and inclusion of mesenteric lymph nodes within intussusceptions were compared. In the transient SBI, the head of intussusception was located in the right lower quadrant (RLQ) in 3 (50%), the right upper quadrant (RUQ) in 1 (16.1%) and per umbilical area in 2 (33.4%) cases. The anteroposterior (AP) diameter ranged from 0.9 - 2.5 cm (mean 1.38 cm) and thickness of outer rim ranged from 0.10–0.34 cm (mean 0.26 cm). No mesenteric lymph nodes were contained within the intussusceptions. In conclusion, the transient SBI occurs predominantly in the RLQ or per umbilical region, has a smaller AP diameter, a thinner outer rim, and does not contain mesenteric lymph nodes.

Introduction

Intussusception is a common abdominal emergency that necessitates prompt diagnosis and adequate management(1). The wider use of ultrasonography and its improved resolution and better appreciation, transient small bowel intussusception (SBI) is frequently visualized on practical daily ultrasound. In the recent literature (2-5), careful ultrasonography examination and/or

interpretation of CT scans have disclosed many SBIs that were reduced spontaneously without any intervention. Conservative observation was warranted in these patients and Doi et al.(5). The purpose of this article is to evaluate the typical ultrasonography findings of transient.

Methods and materials

The 6 cases diagnosed as intussusception on ultrasonography during the period from January 2009 to July 2014 were retrospectively evaluated. The findings of ultrasonography and the medical records were reviewed. Because all ultrasonography was performed for the evaluation of possible intussusception, and because this is a retrospective study, according to the policy of our institute, approval by the institutional review board was not required. During this period, a total of 6 SBIs were diagnosed by ultrasonography. The ultrasonography examination was performed by radiologist using the HDI 5000 (Advanced Technology Laboratories,). After scanning the solid abdominal organs using a convex transducer, a 5–12 MHz linear transducer was then used for the detailed evaluation of the bowel and mesentery. Ultrasonography criteria for the diagnosis of intussusceptions consisted of the presence of one or more characteristic sonographic signs: a doughnut sign (an even thickened hypo echoic outer

and a central hyper echoic core), a crescent-in doughnut sign (an even outer hypo echoic rim with a central hyper echoic crescent) or a multiple concentric rings sign (a mass with multiple alternating hypo echoic and hyper echoic concentric rings). The location of the intussusception was documented according to the site of its head: the right upper, right lower, left upper, left lower, per umbilical or epigastric region. The diameter and the thickness of the outer sonolucent rim (outer wall to the luminal surface) of the intussusception were measured on transverse scan by using the electronic calipers of the ultrasonography equipment. The presence or absence of mesenteric lymph nodes in the intussusceptions was evaluated. In the suspected transient SBI patients, follow-up ultrasonography was performed 1 - 2 days after the initial examination to check for the persistence or disappearance of the transient SBI.

Results

On ultrasonography, transient SBI appeared as crescent-in-doughnut (Figure 1a) or multilayered round mass on a transverse scan, and the short segmental Sandwich sign (Figure 1b) was seen on a longitudinal scan. In the SBIs, the head of the intussusception was located in the right lower quadrant in 3 cases (50%), the right upper quadrant in 1 cases (16.7 %) and per umbilical area in 2 cases (33.3%). The anteroposterior diameter

of the SBIs ranged from 0.84 cm to 2.4 cm with a mean diameter of 1.38 cm. The thickness of the outer rim of the SBIs ranged from 0.10 cm to 0.34 cm with a mean diameter of 0.26 cm. One patient underwent CT scan for evaluation of bowel ischemia or perforation, but this was negative and the patient was finally diagnosed with acute gastroenteritis.




Figure 1: Ultrasonographic images of a 7-year-old boy with abdominal pain and typical transient small bowel intussusception. Image in transverse plain (a) shows the crescent-in-doughnut sign (cursors) and image in

longitudinal plain (b) shows the sandwich sign (cursors). The diameter of the doughnut measured 0.84 cm and the thickness of the outer rim of the lesion measured 0.10 cm.




Figure 2: Ultrasonography images of a 10-month-old boy with cyclic irritability and typical ileocolic intussusception. Transverse (a) and longitudinal (b) US scans show the diameter of

the head measuring 2.88 cm and the thickness of the outer rim of the lesion measuring 0.49 cm. There was a mesenteric lymph node (arrow).

Discussion

More than 90% of cases of intussusception that occur in pediatric patients are of an ileocolic, ileocaecal and most previous studies have focused on these cases (6-10). By contrast, more than 50% of adult intussusceptions are SBI, commonly combined with underlying malignant lesions (11). Transient SBI in pediatric patients is known to be uncommon, and the clinical and sonographic characteristics have not been well described. Recently, Kornecki et al.(3) have reported that most of the SBI observed in children revealed no lead point and spontaneous reduction was common, thus conservative observation was warranted. A high percentage of cases of transient SBI was observed in a recent ultrasonography study in children (13). This may be related to the increased use of abdominal ultrasound in children presenting with abdominal pain and secondary to the improvement in resolution and quality of the images. In general, transient SBI occurred in older children (mean age 4 years) compared with large bowel intussusceptions (< 2 years). Transient SBI patients commonly presented with non-specific symptoms, such as vomiting, irritability with crying, fever and/or abdominal pain or with symptoms characteristic of intussusception, such as cyclic abdominal pain, a palpable mass and red currant jelly stool, which occurred in approximately one-fifth of patients. Thus, diagnosis based on clinical examination can be problematic unless imaging studies are performed (2). In many instances, the causal

relationship between the symptoms and SBI is uncertain. Many of the patients have additional problems, such as acute viral gastroenteritis, mesenteric lymphadenopathy, large bowel intussusception, HSP (Henoch-Schoenlein purpura) and the post laparotomy state, all of which may be the causal factor of the abdominal symptoms. SBI may also occur incidentally in asymptomatic patients. The following factors are thought to predispose children to develop SBI: (a) swelling of the bowel wall; (b) abnormal gastrointestinal motility; and (c) scar or adhesion of the bowel from previous insult (3). Sonography has been reported to be highly sensitive (98 - 100%) for the diagnosis of intussusception (9, 10). Tiao et al.(5) also reported that the sensitivity of sonography for detecting SBI among pediatric patients was 84%, although a detailed sonographic evaluation of the abdomen may occasionally be limited by excessive bowel gas in the dilated bowel loops and the irritability of the patients. The presence on axial US scans, this complication appears as the double crescent-in-doughnut sign. This finding was not seen in any of our transient SBI patients. Transient SBI in pediatric patients is more difficult to detect because the lesions are usually smaller and atypically located and, thus, more experience is necessary. In one report, real-time evaluation on the videorecords showed peristalsis of the invaginated bowel wall in all of the 2 transient SBI patients that were recorded (2).

Visible wall motion on real-time ultrasound observation may also suggest an early reduction. Despite the sonographic identification of various lead points, such as enterogenous cyst, lipoma, lymphangioma, Meckel's diverticulum and Peutz-Jeghers syndrome, in cases of SBI (11), it was very difficult to find the underlying lesions preoperatively on ultra-sonogram (5). CT has been reported to be a sensitive examination for the diagnosis of intussusception and the demonstration of the presence of associated lead points. In addition, it provided an excellent preoperative evaluation of the possible extension and/or dissemination of a malignant tumor, if present, and was also helpful in excluding other abdominal conditions (11). Nevertheless, the routine application of CT for all pediatric patients with non-specific abdominal symptoms and signs is of doubtful use. If the ultrasonography finding is typical of transient SBI, the patient may be managed conservatively and ultrasonography follow up seems to be sufficient for subsequent monitoring to confirm a spontaneous reduction as long as it is performed by an experienced radiologist (2). Therefore, CT should not be required, avoiding unnecessary radiation exposure to the patient. Because most cases of transient SBI resolve quickly, we suggest that the timing of follow up should be one hour or at least within one day from the initial examination. Although SBI that needed surgical intervention were not included in

our study, transient SBI should be differentiated from these cases. The lesion generally gets larger as swelling of the bowel wall progresses, as was demonstrated by the different outer rim thicknesses in the two groups (mean 0.26 cm vs 0.72 cm for the transient and surgically managed SBIs, respectively). The lead point contained within the intussusception may also increase the size, which occurred in 46% of the surgically managed SBI cases. Other ultrasonography findings known to be associated with difficult reduction of the intussusceptions include the presence of bowel obstruction, free fluid and fluid trapped between the intussuscepted bowel walls (11), all of these which were more frequent in the patients with surgically managed SBI. The reported rates of post-operative SBI in children have ranged from 4% to 16%. The reported incidence is even higher in patients with neuroblastoma and in trauma patients after laparotomy. The diagnosis of postoperative SBI remains challenging because its clinical presentations mimic the common post-operative complaints of abdominal pain, vomiting and ileus, and radiographic imaging studies are usually inconclusive. Therefore, close ultrasonography follow up for patients after surgery should be carried out for possible SBI. This study was limited by the lack of pathological correlation in small bowel intussusceptions because of their spontaneously resolving nature, which made surgery unnecessary.

References

1. Yoon CH, Kim HJ, Goo HW. Intussusception in children:US-guided pneumatic reduction – initial experience.Radiology. 2001;**218**:85-88.
2. Kim JH. US features of transient small bowel intussusceptionin pediatric patients. Korean Journal of Radiology. 2004;**5**:178-184.
3. Kornecki A, Daneman A, Navarro O, Connolly B, MansonD, Alton DJ. Spontaneous reduction of intussusceptionclinical spectrum, management and outcome. *PediatrRadiol* 2000;**30**:58-63.
4. Strouse PJ, DiPietro MA, Saez F. Transient small-bowelintussusception in children on CT. *PediatricRadiology*2003;**33**:316-320.
5. Doi O, Aoyama K, Hutson JM. Twenty-one cases of smallbowel intussusception: the pathophysiology of idiopathicintussusception and the concept of benign small bowelintussusception. *Pediatr Surg Int*. 2004;**20**: 140-143.
6. Carlo B, Taylor GA, Share JC, Kirk DR. Gastrointestinaltract. In: Kirk DR, Griscom NT, editors. *Practical pediatricimaging: diagnostic radiology of infants and children*, 3rdedn. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott-Raven, 1997; 822-996.
7. Sivit CJ. Gastrointestinal emergencies in older infants andchildren. *Radiol Clin North Am*. 1997;**35**:865-877.
8. Daneman A, Alton DJ. Intussusception: issues and controversiessrelated to diagnosis and reduction. *Radiol ClinNorth Am*. 1996;**34**: 743-756.
9. Lee HC, Yeh HJ, Leu YJ. Intussusception: the sonographicdiagnosis and its clinical value. *J PediatricGastroenterologyNutrition*.1989;**8**: 343-347.
10. Verschelden P, Filiatrault D, Garel L, Grignon A, PerreaultG, Boisvert J, et al. Intussusceptions in children: reliabilityof US in diagnosis – a prospective study. *Radiology*1992;**184**: 741-744.
11. Peh WCG, Khong PL, Lam C, Chan KL, Saing H, Cheng W,et al. Ileoileocolic intussusception in children: diagnosis andsignificance. *British Journal ofRadiology* 1997;**70**: 891-896.